

Integrating Relational Planning and Reinforcement Learning for Effective Abstraction



Harsha Kokel



Sriraam Natarajan





Balaraman Ravindran





Arjun Manoharan





Prasad Tadepalli



RL and **Planning**

Reinforcement Learning

- + Proven successful in complex games
- + Adaptive and robust against uncertainities
- Relies on huge amount of data
- Not effective for long-horizon problems
- Generalization to different task

Planning

- + Not data but prior-knowledge
- + Better generalization
- May not capture uncertainties

Planner + RL

- Policy Sketches¹ for modular policies that address multi-task RL
- PLANQ-learning² uses planner to shape reward function that guides the Q-learner.
- PEORL³ (Planning-Execution-Observation-Reinforcement-Learning) framework uses symbolic planner to guide the exploration and learning in RL
- TMP-RL⁵ and PDDL+HER⁶ framework use integrated approach for robotic systems with uncertainty and continuous state space
- Taskable-RL⁷ formalizes the high-level planner and low-level RL executioner setup

¹Andreas, Klein, and Levine ICML 2017

²Grounds and Kudenko, AAMAS 2008; ³Yang, Lyu, Liu, and Gustafson IJCAI 2018; ⁵Jiang, Yang, Zhang, and Stone, IROS 2019; ⁶Eppe, Nguygen, and Wermter, Front. in Rob. and AI 2019; 7Illanes, Yan, Icarte, and McIlraith ICAPS 2020

Motivation

Relational domains







Motivation

Abstract Representations





Planning

Execution

 Plan the sequence of high level subgoals and learn to execute each subgoal at lower level



Goal directed relational MDP: <S, A, P, R, γ, G> state p1



Definition 3. The subgoal RMDP M_o for each operator o is defined by the tuple $\langle S, A, P_o, R_o, \gamma \rangle$ consisting of states S, actions A, transition function P_o , reward function R_o , and discount factor γ . State and Actions remain same as the original RMDP. The reward function R_o and transition probability distribution function P_o are defined as follows:

$$R_{o}(s, a, s') = \begin{cases} t_{R} + R(s, a, s') & \text{if } s' \in \beta(o) \text{ and } s \notin \beta(o) \\ 0 & \text{if } s' \in \beta(o) \text{ and } s \in \beta(o) \\ R(s, a, s') & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$P_{o}(s, a, s') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in \beta(o) \text{ and } s' \notin \beta(o) \\ 1 & \text{if } s \in \beta(o) \text{ and } s' \notin \beta(o) \\ P(s, a, s') & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with R(s, a, s') indicating the reward function from the original GRMDP definition. t_R is a fixed terminal reward.

- Plan the sequence of high level subgoals and learn to execute each subgoal at lower level
- Advantage:
 - Compositionality
 - Task specific state representations
- Dynamic First Order Conditional Influence (D-FOCI) statements to obtain taskspecific abstract representations



D-FOCI

First Order Conditional Influence (FOCI) statements

if *condition* then X1 influence X2

Dynamic FOCI statements

$$\mathrm{sub-task}: X1 \overset{+1}{\longrightarrow} X2$$



Natarajan, Tadepalli, Dietterich, and Fern 2008







task1(x):
$$(Y \rightarrow X) \xrightarrow{+1} (X)$$

task1(x): $(X) \xrightarrow{+1}$ Reward



task1(x):
$$(x) \rightarrow (x) \rightarrow (x) \rightarrow (x)$$

task1(x): $(x) \rightarrow (x) \rightarrow (x)$
Heward





task1(x):
$$(x) \rightarrow (x) \rightarrow (x) \rightarrow (x)$$

task1(x): $(x) \rightarrow (x) \rightarrow (x)$
Heward





task1(x):
$$\checkmark \rightarrow ~$$
 $+1 \rightarrow ~$ task1(x): $\checkmark \rightarrow ~$ $+1 \rightarrow ~$ task1(x): $\checkmark \rightarrow ~$ $+1 \rightarrow ~$ task1(x): $\checkmark \rightarrow ~$ Reward





Definition 4 (Li, Walsh, and Littman (2006)). A modelagnostic abstraction $\phi(s)$ is such that for any action a and abstract state \overline{s} , $\phi(s_1) = \phi(s_2)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\{s_1' \mid \phi(s_1') = \overline{s}\}} R_o(s_1, a, s_1') = \sum_{\{s_2' \mid \phi(s_2') = \overline{s}\}} R_o(s_2, a, s_2')$$

$$\sum_{\{s_1' \mid \phi(s_1') = \overline{s}\}} P_o(s_1, a, s_1') = \sum_{\{s_2' \mid \phi(s_2') = \overline{s}\}} P_o(s_2, a, s_2')$$

Dietterich NeurIPS 2000; Ravindran and Barto IJCAI 2003; Givan, Dean, and Greig AI 2003; Li, Walsh, and Littman ISAIM 2006

Definition 4 (Li, Walsh, and Littman (2006)). A modelagnostic abstraction $\phi(s)$ is such that for any action a and abstract state \overline{s} , $\phi(s_1) = \phi(s_2)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\{s'_1 | \phi(s'_1) = \overline{s}\}} R_o(s_1, a, s'_1) = \sum_{\{s'_2 | \phi(s'_2) = \overline{s}\}} R_o(s_2, a, s'_2)$$
$$\sum_{\{s'_1 | \phi(s'_1) = \overline{s}\}} P_o(s_1, a, s'_1) = \sum_{\{s'_2 | \phi(s'_2) = \overline{s}\}} P_o(s_2, a, s'_2)$$



Dietterich NeurIPS 2000; Ravindran and Barto IJCAI 2003; Givan, Dean, and Greig AI 2003; Li, Walsh, and Littman ISAIM 2006

{taxi-at(L1), move(Dir)} $\xrightarrow{+1}$ taxi-at(L2) {taxi-at(L1), move(Dir)} $\longrightarrow R$ pickup(P):

{taxi-at(L1), at(P, L), in-taxi(P)} $\xrightarrow{+1}$ in-taxi(P) pickup(P): in-taxi(P) $\longrightarrow R_o$

D-FOCI for Taxi pickup task



- Get high level plan
- For each subgoal
 - Loop till the
 - Get the a
 - Get the p
 - Take a ste next state
 - Update the state

RePReL Learning

- Get high level plan
- For each subgoal
 - Loop till the subgoal is achieved
 - Get the abstract state
 - Get the policy for that subgoal
 - Take a step and observe reward, next state
 - Update the policy using abstract state

Algorithm 1 RePReL Learning Algorithm

```
INPUT: \mathfrak{P}(O, M), goal set g, env, t_R, F
OUTPUT: RL policies \pi_o, \forall o \in O
 1: \pi_o \leftarrow 0, \forall o \in O
                                     ▷ initialize RL policy for each operator
 2: for each episode do
           s \leftarrow get state from env
 3:
          \Pi \leftarrow \mathfrak{P}(s,g)
 4:
                                                               \triangleright get high-level plan
 5:
           for o_a in \Pi do
               \pi \leftarrow \pi_o
                                                               \triangleright get resp. RL policy
 6:
                \hat{s} \leftarrow \text{GetAbstractState}(s, o_a, F)
 7:
                                                             ▷ check terminal state
                done \leftarrow \hat{s} \in \beta(o_a))
 8:
                while not done do
 9:
10:
                     a \leftarrow \pi(\hat{s})
                                                                            \triangleright get action
11:
                     s' \leftarrow \text{env.step}(a)
                                                                    \triangleright take step in env
                     r \leftarrow R(s, a, s')
12:
                                                                    \triangleright get step reward
                     \hat{s}' \leftarrow \text{GetAbstractState}(s, o_a, F)
13:
                     done \leftarrow \hat{s}' \in \beta(o_q)
                                                      ▷ check terminal next state
14:
15:
                     if done then
16:
                                                             ▷ add terminal reward
                          r = r + t_R
17:
                     end if
18:
                     \pi.update(\hat{s}, a, \hat{s}', r)
                                                                       \triangleright update policy
19:
                     s, \hat{s} \leftarrow s', \hat{s}'
                end while
20:
21:
           end for
22: end for
23: return \pi_o, \forall o \in O
```

Experiments





Domains

- Office World
- Craft World
- Relational Taxi
- Relational Box World
- Baselines
 - HRL (options framework)
 - TRL (Taskable RL, Illanes et al. 2020)





Experiments

- Sample efficiency
- Transfer across task
- Generalization across objects





trl: Taskable RL (Illanes et al. ICAPS 2020)

Experiments

- Sample efficiency
- Transfer across task
- Generalization across objects







Office World





CRAFT WORLD









Relational Box World



Collect key and open lock

Generalization across objects









For human-level general intelligence, the ability to detect compositional structure in the domain and form task-specific abstractions are necessary.

Other relevant work

- Learning the high-level planner [Ludovico et al IJCLR 2021]
- Modify the plan based on RL agents capability [Lyu et al AAAI 2019]
- Automating task termination condition [Lee et al 2021]
- Learning task-specific state representation [Abdulhai et al. 2021]
- Learning to plan and act simultaneously [Patra et al Al 2021]
- Improving Robot Navigation [Wöhlke et al. ICRA 2021]
- Extending the RePReL framework to Deep RL setting (under prep)



QUESTIONS?



THANKS







Given	State	$egin{aligned} & ext{at}(p1,r), ext{taxi-at}(13), ext{dest}(p1,y), eginarrow ext{at}(p1), eginarrow ext{int}(p1), ext{at}(p2,b), ext{dest}(p2,g), eginarrow ext{at-dest}(p2), eginarrow ext{int}(p1) \end{aligned}$
	subtask	$\langle \ \mathrm{pickup}(P), \{P/p1, L/r\} angle$
	D-FOCI	$ \begin{aligned} & \{ taxi-at(L1), move(Dir) \} \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow} taxi-at(L2) \\ & \{ taxi-at(L1), move(Dir) \} \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow} R \\ & pickup(P): \\ & \{ taxi-at(L1), at(P, L), in-taxi(P) \} \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow} in-taxi(P) \\ & pickup(P): in-taxi(P) {\longrightarrow} R_o \end{aligned}$
Get	Abstract state	$\{\mathrm{at}(\mathrm{p1},\mathrm{r}),\mathrm{taxi-at}(13),\neg\mathrm{in-taxi}(\mathrm{p1}),\mathrm{move}(\mathrm{Dir})\}$